UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,327	10/31/2003	Edward Alan Clark	LUC-427/Clark 9	9809
	7590 10/08/200 FT & AREZINA LLC	EXAMINER		
ONE NORTH I	LASALLE STREET	NGUYEN, QUYNH H		
44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/698,327	CLARK, EDWARD ALAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	QUITITI: NOUTEN	2017
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lates Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origite than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS	,	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);
appeal; and/or		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: 15 and 16. Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 17-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		l be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 013. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Quynh H Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2614

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Shires' phone call bridge component within telephony server 120 rather than a separate conference bridge component coupled to an application server. Examiner respectfully submits that in the secondary reference Shires, intermediate application server does not equate to telephony server 120. Phone call bridge component 1060 couples to component 1030, component 1050, or indirectly couples to component 1080 then to component 150; component 150 recording user / agent ID, web users' ID and make association between user / agent ID and the workstation (col. 3, lines 55-58) and/or browsing session in the ID storage (col. 10, lines 5-8). Hence, the cited passage of Shires reads on claimed limitation "a conference bridge component coupled to the intermediate application server component.